IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

| Malik Jacuqez Brown, |) C/A No. 4:20-cv-04077-DCC |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) |
| V. | ORDER |
| Kilolo Kijakazi, ¹ Acting Commissioner of Social Security Administration, |))) |
| Defendant. |))) |

Plaintiff brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying his claim for Supplemental Security Income. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (D.S.C.), this matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for pre-trial handling. The Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation ("Report") on October 18, 2021, recommending that the Court affirm the decision of the Commissioner. ECF No. 28. Neither party filed objections to the Report.²

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of only those portions of the

¹ Kilolo Kijakazi became the Acting Commissioner of Social Security on July 9, 2021. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d), she is substituted for former Commissioner Andrew Saul as the defendant in this action.

² Plaintiff filed a Reply to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, indicating that he does not intend to file any objections. ECF No. 29.

4:20-cv-04077-DCC Date Filed 11/02/21 Entry Number 32 Page 2 of 2

Report that have been specifically objected to, and the Court may accept, reject, or

modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Court will review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See

Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) ("[I]n the

absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but

instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in

order to accept the recommendation." (citation omitted)).

Upon review of the record, the applicable law, and the findings and

recommendations of the Magistrate Judge, the Court finds no clear error and ADOPTS

the Report. Therefore, the Commissioner's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Donald C. Coggins, Jr. United States District Judge

November 2, 2021 Spartanburg, South Carolina